| - 1 | | | |
|-----|---|--|--|
| 1 | | | |
| 2 | | | |
| 3 | Attorneys for Plaintiff, Nicholas Ligammari | | |
| 4 | | | |
| 5 | | | |
| 6 | | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | | | |
| 9 | LINITED STATES | DISTRICT COURT | |
| | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | NORTHERN DISTRI | CI OF CALIFORNIA | |
| 11 | |) | |
| 12 | IN RE: BEXTRA AND CELEBREX | Case Specific Number: C 07 2297 CRB | |
| 13 | MARKETING SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCT LIABILITY LITIGATION | MDL NO. 1699 District Judge: Charles R. Breyer | |
| 14 | |) | |
| 15 | Plaintiff Name(s), Nicholas Ligammari |)) | |
| 16 | Plaintiffs, |) STIPULATION AND ORDER OF) DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE | |
| 17 | vs. |)) | |
| 18 | Pfizer, Inc., et al. | | |
| 19 | Defendants. | j | |
| 20 | | | |
| 21 | Come now the Plaintiff, NICHOLAS LIGAMMARI, and Defendants, PFIZER, INC., et | | |
| 22 | | | |
| 23 | al. by and through the undersigned attorneys, pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure, Rule | | |
| 24 | 41(a), and hereby stipulate to the dismissal of this action with prejudice with each side bearing | | |
| 25 | its own attorneys' fees and costs. | | |
| 26 | DATED: January 17, 2009 | | |
| 27 | | | |
| 28 | By: Peter L. Kaufman | | |
| | Attorneys for Plaintiff, Nicholas Ligammari | | |
| | STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE | | |

| 1 | | |
|-------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | DATED: August 6, 2009 GORDON & REES | |
| 3 | | |
| 4 | By:Stuart M. Gordon | |
| 5 | Attorneys for Defendants | |
| 6 | | |
| 7 | | |
| 8 | PURSUANT TO THE TERMS SET FORTH IN THE PARTIES' STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| 9 | This so diabates. | |
| 10 | Dated: AUG 1 7 2009 | |
| 11 | Hon. Charles R. Breyer United States District Court | |
| 12 | | |
| 13 | | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| 26 | | |
| 27 | | |
| 28 | -2- | |
| PFZR/1035934/6190655v.1 | STIPULATION AND ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITHOUT PREJUDICE | |